Approved For Release 2000/08/25: CIA-RDP57-005841300100000001367 Pracess ? Mallatt v. Ostrander Ry & Timber Co. 46 F. Supp. 250 D.Ct., D. Ore (1942) Yankwich, D.J. *OGC Has Reviewed* Violations of Oregon Logging Safety Code-negligence charged for 1 Can it be said that law which leaves to juny question as to whether clause is vague and lays down so definite standard of care or duty turns jurous into legislators or amounts to a demal Lave been made judges of facts upon the determination of which bability or brown liability depended. 314 US 513, 523". The law is full of instances where a main fate depends on his estimating rightly, that is, as the juny subsequently estimates it, some matter of degree. If his judgment is wrong no only may be iskur a fine or a short imprisonment, as here; he may incur the peralty of death." Holmes in hash v. U.S., 1913, 1229 US 37B, 377 and statutes which have left the determination of the reasonableness or practicability lot are action to a juny are not only invulnerable from a con stitutional standpoint, but are in the Try spirit of the jung system.... Tests of this character are not netaphycical, subjective or abstract. They are practical objective and concrete. The standard they establish is as certain as it is pragmatic They allow a my to determine whether in The light of past conduct a please actions

next the shaward of reasonables, practicability of

the like ... goin . - ". 253-254

Is it specific enough to satisfy the requirements of due process?

Fundamental constitutional protection that a penal statule must be sufficiently explicit to inform those who are subject to it what bronduct on their part will render them liable to its planalties. It am act in terms so vague that men of common intelligence hund recessail, quess at its meaning and differ as quest to application violates the conditional quantities of due process of law.